App F.IX-1

1924.

File No. 282-25

Previous References (Miscl)

Serial Nos. 1+2

Later references.
Misc 92-25-1-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for June 26

SUBJECT

Repairs to Sri Ramaswamy temple at Kaladi in the Sugar Taluk

To the Chief Engineer
Communicated
No 1420-22 & 12th June 1426
revised
Conservancy certificate for Rs 11,71.0

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

NOTES.

File No. Mug 282-

FILE HEADING.

Repairs to the Sri Ramadevaru Temple at Kaladi in the Sagar Taluk

From

The Chief Engineer

No. 12128 Dated 24th April 1926 Received

Diary No. 1257 Enclos. Spare Copies Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for sanction a revised estimate amounting to Rs 11,840/- for repairing the Sri Ramadevaru Temple at Kaladi in the Sagar Taluk.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In connection with the repairs of the temple an estimate for Rs. 8726/- was sanctioned in May 1918. — Exclusive of the 25% Tool & Plants charges — vide G. O. No 2856-8/Mug 231-17-4 dt 11th May 1918. But when tenders were received

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

File No 282 of 1925.

Serial No

for the work being put through, it was found that the cost would be about Rs 9800. Exclusive of the Estt, Cost of plant charges Estimated at 10 2% at 10½ % on the working Estimate. Sanction for this formal revision of the Estimate was sought for in this Comn's letter no. 2857 dt. 29-12-24 with the result that first in their letter No. 2739 dt 27-4-25 returned it for being revised & resubmitted either in view of the length of time since it was originally prepared, nearly one year & half ago previously.

The present revised Estimate amounts to Rs 11840 or Rs 3114 over the original Estimate and includes a provision of Rs 1103 under Establishment & Tools charges. Details of information explaining the necessity for the excess expenditure has been furnished in Asst Engineer's letter of the 7th April 1925.

Submitted for orders with a draft sanction the Estimate as it has been reported that a sum of Rs 60718-9-5 is available at the credit of the institution

22/5/26

Para 3 of the P.W.D shows that the mazr. Comm. has been consulted

25/5/26

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

Mrg File No 42 of 1925.—

Serial No

in the matter.

The necessity for the revision of the original estimate is explained by the Chief Engineer.

The revised estimate may be sanctd, the charge being met out of the funds of the institution. The amount available at the credit of the institution is reported to be Rs 60718/9/-

Draft, sanctioning, is put up for approval or other orders.

Rev. Secy

26/5

352
27.5

2nd member's
Approved vide address in draft
Mrg 30/5/26

Gen 31.5.26

27.5.26

I agree as revised by member (a specific copy may be kept on file)

26/5

2 To,
The Ch. Engr, Mrs Comms & Comptr
No 1620-2 dt 12.6.26

Sanctions the estimate for Rs 11,840, the charge being met out of the temple funds & calls for a monthly report on the progress of the work in question

NOTE—Cont. Sheet

WD 1998—GPB—5,000—27-4-25

No.

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 24th April 1926.

44406

GEN. & REV. SECT.
MISC.
D1257
Mar 282-25-1

FROM

JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

PUBLIC SECTION
BR. Mar
27 APR 1926
G. D. S.

To

B. Srinivasaiengar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

SIR

Repairs to the Sri Ramadevaru Temple at Kaladi in the Sagar Taluk.
228-21

Adverting to correspondence ending with this office D.O. letter No. 355 dated the 10th December 1924, I have the honour to forward herewith, for favour of sanction, a revised estimate amounting to Rs 11,840 for repairing the Sri Ramadevaru Temple at Kaladi in the Sagar Taluk.

2. The amount of the original estimate for the work as sanctioned in G.O.No. 2856-8/Muz.231-17-4 dated the 11th May 1918 is Rs 8,726. The excess over the original sanction as per revised estimate now submitted is Rs 3,114. This is due to the provision on account of the usual Establishment and Tools and Plant charges which was omitted in the original estimate and also to provision of increased rates for a few items as the sanctioned rates were found low and unworkable.

The report by the Executive Engineer accompanying the revised estimate explains the necessity for the revision of the estimate and the ~~increases~~ excesses and savings are shown in the accompanying comparative statement with reasons therefor.

The Assistant Engineer's letter No.556 dated the 7th is received as a reply to the observations made by this ~~one and it~~ further explains ~~the~~ in detail the necessity for a long copy of this is herein enclosed.

3. The Muzrai Commissioner while transmitting the estimate states that a sum of Rs 60,718-9-5 is available at the credit of the Institution.

4. The favour of very early sanction to the revised estimate is requested.

5. The file of correspondence received from the Muzrai Commissioner is also herewith forwarded.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Letter No.336 dated the 7th April 1925 from the Assistant Engineer, No.3 sub Division to the Executive Engineer, Shimoga Division.

Keladi Rameswara Temple.

Resubmission of revised estimate which had been returned for furnishing certain information along with a modified revised estimate as now prepared.

I have the honour to resubmit revised estimate after attending to instructions communicated in your No.77 dated the 28th January 1925 (forwarding copy of Chief Engineer's No.10493 dated 22nd January 1925) as detailed below:-

1. Separate plan with detail measurements is submitted.

2. Cross sections at C.D.& E.F. marked in pencil on tracing are plotted in the new plan.

3. The only item whose interchange of depth and width had been made is laterite in mud,. These are now shown correctly in red ink figures.

4. The actual lengths of rafters are 11'-4" of inside ones and 12'-9" of outside ones as executed in the case of north verandah. The eve projection is 2½' from faces of pillar and rear walls as per work done. This is necessary to keep off rain specially in malnad.

   The width of verandah is 13'-6" from inner face of new wall ~~for~~ outer face of inside retaining wall and not 11'-6" (vide section on E.F)

   The foundation for south verandah walls, has been completed and 13'-6" is also verandah width. The couples which have been paid for have been m de to suit this width of verandah with eve projections of 2½'.

5. The three bressummers noted in estimate is wrong. It ought to be 1, brussummer and 1 wall plate. The 3rd one of 140' is in excess and has to be omitted.

6. This has been attended to in the plan now ~~omitted~~ Submitted.

As the revised estimate was still not sanctioned, I

I thought it necessary to go through the same in detail and make any necessary alterations and additions now alone to avoid submission of a re-revised estimate.

With this object in view, a detailed estimate of all works done and remaining to be done is got up and submitted now, for favour of being adopted in place of the revised estimate already submitted.

To facilitate comparison of all the items of the sanctioned estimate abstract taken in order and additional items necessary as per work already paid for in most cases included.

The actual cost of work done and paid for but not included in the revised estimate although they had been completed is Rs 350 about.

The amount of revised estimate as submitted on 16th August 1924 is Rs 10,949 as now got up it is Rs 11,623.

The increase in amount of Rs 674 is due to (1) including items of work done and paid for (2) to recommending slightly increased ~~increased~~ rates in the case of cut laterite in mud and woodwork.

The rates recommended in revised estimate submitted are 0-4-0 and 3-0-0 per cft and as now recommended 0-4-6 and 3-4-0 the rates viz., 0-5-0 and 3-8-0 to 3-12-0 respectively have not been recommended although necessary in order to keep down the excess over sanctioned amount.

For private work, laterite in mud is being paid at 0-4-0 at Sagar and this does not include cost of mud and cooly for mixing mud and supplying. Such being the case increased rates ought to be given as the work is situated in a village where skilled labour and materials are not easily procurable.

The comparative statement herewith submitted explains in detail all the other points.

Certain differences observed in the comparative statement already submitted are noted in a separate sheet, which is also herewith submitted for kind information.

The work has not been progressing as rapidly as desirable and this is due to low rates, mostly. I request the Executive Engineer will kindly adopt the revised estimate now submitted by me and recommend the same being sanctioned in preference to the old one.

Till orders are received approving increased rates, no one is willing to come forward to take up the work. I therefore request that the estimate be kindly sanctioned early and returned for resuming work.

"True copy"

S H Lakshman 15

For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Date of issue 12-6-26

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order? PWD

iii published in the Gazette. English Part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

SECTION Muz

FILE No. 282-25

SERIAL No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

G.O no. 2856-8, Muz 23-17, dt the 11th May 1918, sanctioning an Estimate for Rs 8726/- for repairing the Sri Ramadevaru Temple at Kelādi in the Sagar Taluk.

2) Correspondence ending with letter no. 12 dt the 24th ult from the Chief Engineer of Mysore, forwarding for Sanction a revised Estimate amounting to Rs. 11,640. and stating That a revision of the Estimate was necessary as the rates sanctioned with the original Estimate was found low and unworkable, and as no provision is necessary the usual

Establishment and Works & Plant charges
~~have been included in the~~
~~said Estimate~~

Order - No. 1620-22 dt 24/6/26
with Sketch (11.4.1.)

The revised Estimate
for Rs. 11840 ~~for the repairs~~
~~of the Ramadrama Temple~~
~~at Kandi in the Jaffna Peninsula~~
is sanctioned, the ~~Cost~~ Charge being
met out of the funds at
the credit of the Miscellaneous

2. There has been delay
of ~~over~~ two years in
submitting the revised
Estimate and it
should have been allowed
to occur

3 The work is ~~found to~~
~~be~~ found to
be urgent so far as
tracts as 1918 and it has
not yet been carried
out fully.

Secretary to Govt

~~25/5/26~~

To The Chief Engineer with this Sketch
& the papers

The Treasury Comptroller with a Copy of the abstract Estimate.

The Comptroller with a Copy of the abs: Est.

List of enclosures.

Two estimate sheets

Now sd in Mrs Upton

4. The CE to be requested
to arrange for
sending for
information & Govt
every month the
reports showing
the progress of work.
The first report
will be expected
about the 15th
of July 26

Copied by

July 27/26 *Examined by*

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29-7 22

roceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

- -- -

Read.-

Government Order No. 2856-8/Muz.231-17-4 dated the 11th May 1918, sanctioning an estimate for Rs. 8726/- for repairing the Sri Ramadevara temple at Koladi in the Sagar Taluk.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 12128 dated the 24th Apl 1926 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore, forwarding for sanction a revised estimate amounting to Rs. 11840/- and stating that a revision of the estimate was necessary as the rates sanctioned under the original estimate was found low and unworkable, and as the provision on account of the usual establishment and tools and plant charges was omitted in the original estimate.

Order No. 1620-22
Muz 282-25-2 Dated, Bangalore, the 12th June 1926.

The revised estimate for Rs. ~~11,840~~ 11,840/- is sanctioned, the charge being met out of the funds at the credit of the institution.

2. There has been delay of two years in submitting the revised estimate and it should h not have been allowed to occur.

3. The work was found to be urgent so far as back as 1918 and it has not yet been carried out fully though the estimate therefor was sanctioned in that year.

4. The Chief Engineer is requested to arrange for sending for information of Government every month reports showing the progress of work. The first report will be awaited about the 15th of July 1926.

for Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore (returning the estimate and the pans
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with a copy of the abstract estimate.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore (with a copy of the abstract estimate.)

C.R.G.4.

Revised

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATE FOR

repairing the Sri Ramadevaru temple and out-houses at Keladi, Sagar Taluk.

Muz 50].

Particulars	Per	Rate	Quantity	Cost	
Sri Ramadevaru temple.					
1. Cement plastering.	sqr	16	7.00	112-0-0	
2. Replacing the Mangalore roofing tiles.	each	2	25	3-0-0	
3. Refixing old ridge tiles in mortar	Rft	3	193	36-0-0	
4. Replacing ridge tiles in place of broken ones.	"	12	5	4-0-0	
5. Removing the old broken plaster and mess.	Sqr.	12	29.00	22-0-0	
6. Repairs to doors(lumpsum rupees)				5-0-0	
				-------	182
Parvathamma temple					
1. Removing old broken new plaster and clearing	Sqr.	6	22.46	8-0-0	
2. Chunnam plastering	"	5 . .	22.46	112-0-0	
3. Repairing and rebuilding the cornice	Rft	8	94	47-0-0	
4. Kadapa slab flooring over 3" concrete including cement pointing.	Sqr.	45 . .	4.93	222-0-0	
5. Kadapa slab flooring set in mortar including cement pointing.	"	37 . .	1.77	65-0-0	
6. Cut laterite brick in mortar.	Cft.	6	50	19-0-0	
7. Removing rotton ceiling including wood-work.	sft	1	187	12-0-0	
8. Honney or other reserved wood work wrought and put up.	cft	3 4 .	25	81-0-0	
9. Honney or other reserved wood work plank ceiling including wood-oiling.	Sft	10	220	137-0-0	
10. Providing wooden frames for keeping lights.	each	10 . .	1	10-0-0	
11. Repairing and planing existing beam.	Rft	2	50	6-0-0	
12. Cement plastering.	Sqr.	16 . .	.12	2-0-0	
13. Refixing old ridge tiles in mortar	Rft	3	115	22-0-0	
14. Collecting and spreading laterite gravel.		L. S.		2-0-0	
15. Tarring to wood work.	Sqr.	3 8 .	2.50	9-0-0	
16. Revetting and forming slip slab		L.S.		1-0-0	
17. Repairs to doors.		L.S.		3-0-0	
				-------	758
Out-houses, Yagasala and new kitchen.					
1. Earth work.	cyd	4 6	43	12-0-0	
2. Dismantling and removing wall	cft	6	1789	56-0-0	
3. Cut laterite in mud.	"	4 6	1947	548-0-0	
4. Mud wall	"	1 6	127	12-0-0	
5. Providing jungle wood battened door including frame and two shutters with iron work &c.	sft	1 8 .	45	67-0-0	
6. Providing jungle wood windows with iron bars &c. complete.	'	1 12	24	42-0-0	
7. Mud plastering.	sqr.	1 . .	5.04	5-0-0	
8. Repairing the flooring including breaking, forming & ramming	"	8	16.05	8-0-0	
9. Repairing the door.		L.S.		10-000	

Sanctioned for Rs.

No dated in Govt. Pros.

WD 0611 GPL 250—5 22

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATE FOR

Muz 50].

Particulars	Per	Rate	Quantity	Cost
10. Fixing cracks in the wall with mud plastering.	Sft	1	234	15-0-0
11. White washing.	Sqr	5	37.86	12-0-0
12. Mortar plastering	"	5 .	4.35	22-0-0
13. Scraping dirt and cleaning		L.S.		1-0-0
14. Flooring with laterite concrete in mortar.	Cft	5	3	1-0-0
15. Cut laterite brick in mortar.	"	5	62	19-0-0
16. Chunnam painting.	Sqr.	3 .	31.42	94-0-0

Chandrasala and Store rooms.				
1. Replacing Mangalore tiles.	each	2	100	13-0-0
2. Earth work.	cyd	4 6	47	12-0-0
3. Dismantling the wall & removing	cft	6	1381	43-0-0
4. Cut laterite in clay.	"	4	2223	556-0-0
~~5. Mud work~~	"	4 6	2000	562-0-0
5. Mud wall	"	1 6	39	4-0-0
6. Mud plastering	sqr.	1 . .	2.67	3-0-0
7. Repairing the floor including picking & forming and tamping.	"	8	39.12	20-0-0
8. Removing country pot tiles roof & repairs.	"	1 . .	97.04	97-0-0
9. Removing the frame work of rafters, ridged pieces & beam.	"	1 . .	97.04	97-0-0
10. Refitting old ~~s~~antlings as selected out of the old roof.	cft	4	450	112-0-0
11. Eave boards.	rft	8	150	75-0-0
		10	244	152-0-0
12. Jungle wood work wrought & put up.	cft	3 4 .	405	1970-0-0
13. Providing ridge tiles.	rft	12	503	377-0-0
14. Roofing with 1st class Mangalore tile on teak reapers.	sqr	33 . .	115.00	3795-0-0
15. White washing	"	5	42.07	13-0-0
16. Excavating & removing the made up soil.	cyd	2	147	18-0-0
17. Arranging old wood work and tiles in heaps.	each	1 . .	34	34-0-0
18. Forming valley with zinc sheet and plung valley.	rft	2 . .	68	136-0-0
19. Chunnam borders over roof.	"	6	145½	54-0-0
20. Building the wall to level in places where wooden pillars stand including cutting the sides to level and plastering.	each	5	17	5-0-0
21. Pointing with mortar	sqr	3 . .	29.23	88-0-0
22. Removing old laterite pillars by the side of doors &c. filling and plastering.		L.S.		3-0-0
23. Excavating & filling trial pit.	cyd	6	24	9-0-0
24. Removing and ~~xxx~~ resetting the old Mangalore tiled roof to join old and new roof.	sqr	3 .	9.24	28-0-0
25. Providing new teak reepers.	"	8 .	1.96	16-0-0
26. Constructing the old retaining wall to one line by cutting laterite ~~works in front~~	rft	1	119	7-0-0

Sanctioned for Rs.

No dated in Govt.Pros.

WD 0611 GPB 2.0-3 & 22

ABSTRACT OF ESTIMATE FOR

Muz 50].

Particulars	Per	Rate	Quantity	Cost	
27. Removing top damaged course of the retaining wall and rebuilding with old and new bricks.	cft	3 6	37	8-0-0	
28. Tarring to wood-work.	sqr	3 8	14.08	49-0-0	
29. Wood-oiling	"	3 . .	26.15	78-0-0	
30. Chunnam plastering	"	5 . .	19.38	97-0-0	
				----------	8537
Car shed (repairs).					
1. Shifting tiles with new tiles and repairs out of old wood available at site of main building.	sqr.	3 . .	16.40	49-0-0	
2. Replacing eaten up rafters by old ones.		L. S.		5-0-0	
3. Chunnam borders over roof.	rft	6	46	18-0-0	
				---------	72
Well (repairs).					
Repairing the parapet wall of well with cut laterite stones.	100	9 . .	42	4-0-0	
Labour charges for 42 stones (construction)	cft	2	25	3-0-0	
Chunnam plastering	sqr	5 . .	3.99	20-0-0	
White washing	"	5	3.99	1-0-0	
Jungle wood work	cft	3 4	3.50	11-0-0	
				---------	39
Total in all					10,512
Contingencies for the balance amount to be worked out.					225
Establishment tools and plant charges at 10½% as G.O. No. 1523-5/Muz.18-18-2 dated 18th November 1919.					1,103
Grand total of the whole estimate. ...			...		11,840

Deduct sanctioned from Revised - 11,840 - 8,726 or Rs. 3,114/-

* C O P Y *

Superintendent, Muzrai Branch.

Sanctioned for Rs.

No dated in Govt.Pros.

WD 0611 GPB 250-3 8 22